

THE DYNAMICS OF LONG WAVES IN A
BAROTROPIC WESTERLY CURRENT
WITH A THREE-DIMENSIONAL
VELOCITY PERTURBATION

—♦—
A. L. STICKLES, II

THESIS
572

Library
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA 93943-5002

THE DYNAMICS OF LONG WAVES IN A
BAROTROPIC WESTERLY CURRENT WITH A
THREE-DIMENSIONAL VELOCITY PERTURBATION

-
A. I. Stickles II

THE DYNAMICS OF LONG WAVES IN A
BAROTROPIC WESTERLY CURRENT WITH A
THREE-DIMENSIONAL VELOCITY PERTURBATION

by
Albert Louis Stickles II
Lieutenant, United States Navy

Submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements
for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN AEROLOGY

United States Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California
1952

... ..

... ..

This work is accepted as fulfilling
the thesis requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN AEROLOGY

from the
United States Naval Postgraduate School

PREFACE

This paper presents the results of a study of imposing a three-dimensional perturbation on a barotropic westerly current. The purpose of the present investigation was to present theoretical solutions to the following basic problems: (1) the determination of the three dimensional structure of the wave; (2) the determination of the speed of propagation of the wave; (3) the value of considering vertical motion in the wave motion.

Undertaken as the thesis requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Aerology, this paper was prepared at the United States Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California during academic year 1951-1952.

The author is particularly indebted to Associate Professor F. L. Martin of the Department of Aerology, for his advice and guidance during the entire preparation of this study.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

the first of the year, and the second of the year.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL	i
PREFACE	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	iv
TABLE OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	v
CHAPTER	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FORMULATION AND RESULTS OF THE PROBLEM	7
III. THE MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION	10
1. The Atmospheric Model	10
2. Fundamental Equations	10
3. The Boundary Conditions	12
4. Derivation of the Perturbation Equation	12
5. The Linearized Equations	13
IV. THE BAROTROPIC PERTURBATION	
1. The Form of the Perturbation and Resulting Conditions	15
2. Perturbation Functions in the Stratosphere	17
3. An Approximate Solution in the Troposphere	22
4. Application of the Tropopause Boundary Conditions	23
5. The Complete Solution in the Approximate Case	24
V. THE VARIABLE COEFFICIENT CASE	27
1. The Solution of the Perturbation	27
2. Application of the Tropopause Boundary Conditions	29
3. The Complete Solution	29
VI. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	31
BIBLIOGRAPHY	32
APPENDIX I. Confluent Hypergeometric Transformation	35

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
Table 1. D - n_2 Relationship	20
Figure 1. Perturbation Velocities in the Vertical	26
Figure 2. Amplitude Elements	26
Figure 3. Phase Relationships	26





TABLE OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

u	horizontal velocity perturbation along x-axis
v	horizontal velocity perturbation along y-axis
w	vertical velocity perturbation along z-axis
p	perturbation component of pressure
c	wave velocity
U	constant zonal velocity along x-axis
f	($= 2 \Omega \sin \varphi$); the z component of coriolis acceleration
β	($= 2 \Omega \cos \varphi$); the y component of coriolis acceleration
D	proportionality constant between u and v
$H(z); F(z); G(z)$	and their derivatives - functions of the vertical perturbation
ρ	density of atmosphere
$* h_e$	($= \frac{RT_e}{g}$); height of homogeneous layer of temperature T_e
t	time, counted zero when wave passes origin of cartesian coordinates system
Γ	vertical lapse rate of temperature
$* T_e$	absolute temperature
φ	angle of latitude
g	acceleration of gravity
E	mean radius of the earth
N	($= 2\pi E \cos \varphi / L$) wave number
$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{R}{g}$	
$M(= \left \frac{u_{\max}}{w_{\max}} \right)$	ratio of absolute value of maximum horizontal perturbation velocity to that of maximum vertical perturbation velocity

h_T	height of the tropopause	
K	$\sqrt{T_0} (g/RP - 1)^{-1}$; a parameter
α	$= P/T_0$; a parameter
m	$= 2\pi/L$; a parameter
A	$= \beta K D m / f(D^2 - 1)$; a parameter
B	$= -D^2 m^2 / D^2 - 1$; a parameter
S_e	$= 1/2h_e$; a parameter
a	$= -\beta^2 K [1 - f D m / \beta K]^2 / f^2 (D^2 - 1)$; a parameter
b	$= K [1 - f D m / \beta K]^2 / \alpha$; a parameter
r	$= -f D m (1 - f D m / \beta K) / \beta \alpha$; a parameter
Z	$= 2 m h_2$; a parameter in the stratosphere
Z_1	$= 2 m h_1 / \epsilon$; a parameter in the troposphere
* Y_e	$= Z [n_e^2 - 1]^{1/2}$; an equality with appropriate Z
C_n	$[for n = 1, 2, 3 \dots]$; constants
* n_e	Discriminant used in evaluation of D	


- * $e = 0$ denotes quantity at the surface
- $e = 1$ denotes tropospheric quantity
- $e = 2$ denotes stratospheric quantity
- $e = 3$ denotes mean tropospheric quantity



I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical study of the behavior of large-scale flow patterns in the extratropical zones of the earth, associated with migratory long waves in the belt of prevailing westerlies, occupies an important place in meteorological literature.

Many informative theoretical and synoptic studies of the behavior of these large-scale flow patterns have been made since V. Bjerknes [5], in 1916, advanced a theory, based on general hydrodynamic considerations, that cyclones originate as dynamically unstable wavelike disturbances in the westerly current. In 1923, H. H. Clayton [27] made the first systematic use of zonal index by means of the trend of mean pressure versus latitude to determine increasing and decreasing zonal circulation. By 1933, V. Bjerknes and collaborators [27] had computed the zonal velocity distribution from zonal temperature and pressure distribution at the ground, showing the maximum horizontal velocity normally occurs just below the tropopause and concluded that there were 2-3 waves around the 60° latitude circle and slightly above 4 around the 30° circle. In 1937, J. Bjerknes [3,28] offered a simple explanation for the displacement of perturbations superimposed on zonal distribution. Variations in latitude and pressure perturbations, with simultaneous transport of air, result in changes of amplitude or longitudinal displacement of long waves. This result was obtained by combining the equations of motion with the equation of continuity. Rossby [28] pointed out two



basic objections, first, the omission of acceleration terms which may be of the same order of magnitude as the centrifugal and deflecting force, and second, the displacement of observed flow patterns caused by isallobaric systems resulting from divergence associated with initial wind distribution. Rossby [27] extended the J. Bjerknes paper reasoning that since the depth of the atmosphere was much smaller than the horizontal scale of large disturbances, it was permissible to treat the atmospheric motion as two-dimensional, non-divergent flow. Further, he deduced that between the longer westerly moving waves, there existed an intermediate wave length, such that its perturbation remained stationary. The speed of propagation of moving waves is dependent on the strength of the westerlies and the wave length, and was based on the consideration of a change in vorticity in a vertical air column which has been displaced from one latitude to another. Haurwitz [16, 17] extended Rossby's 1939 solution by studying the effects of lateral limits of the wave and a variable Coriolis parameter on a curved earth.

Namias and Clapp [22] investigated Rossby's wave speed formula statistically. Their verification was generally successful and they concluded that amplitude and zonal velocity were both important in determining the stationary wave length.

V. Bjerknes had, by this time, found the number of waves around the 45° latitude circle to be between four and six. In 1944, J. Bjerknes and Holmboe [19] derived a formula analagous to that of Rossby for the more general barotropic atmosphere which agreed qualitatively with that of J. Bjerknes' of 1937.

1. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject.

2. The second part is a description of the methods used in the investigation.

3. The third part is a description of the results of the investigation.

4. The fourth part is a discussion of the results and their significance.

5. The fifth part is a conclusion and a list of references.

6. The sixth part is a list of figures and tables.

7. The seventh part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

8. The eighth part is a list of footnotes.

9. The ninth part is a list of appendices.

10. The tenth part is a list of references.

11. The eleventh part is a list of figures and tables.

12. The twelfth part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

13. The thirteenth part is a list of footnotes.

14. The fourteenth part is a list of appendices.

15. The fifteenth part is a list of references.

16. The sixteenth part is a list of figures and tables.

17. The seventeenth part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

18. The eighteenth part is a list of footnotes.

19. The nineteenth part is a list of appendices.

20. The twentieth part is a list of references.

By 1947, Charney [5] in his baroclinic atmosphere theory, based on J. Bjerknes and Holmboe's theory of wave motion in a baroclinic atmosphere, introduced a solution to the barotropic wave. With this theory, he obtained a solution, based on the principle that a wave will travel with such a speed that the pressure tendencies arise from the displacement of the pressure pattern in accordance with the field of horizontal divergence. Charney found this physical explanation acceptable, but the dynamics of the wave could not be solved by analytic methods based on semi-empirical considerations of gradient wind. Charney [1947] integrated the equations of motion, assuming motion to be adiabatic, and thus determined the speed of propagation, stability criteria and the three-dimensional wave structure. In 1948, Eliassen [12] generalized the Rossby-Holmboe wave formula, but he neglected vertical acceleration and the Coriolis parameter when it appeared in multiplicative combination with the vertical velocity. In 1949, Charney and Eliassen [7] extended numerical analysis by use of the quasi-geostrophic approximation.

In a field where horizontal divergence was so small that the absolute vorticity of air parcels was conserved and the scale of motions was large enough that the Coriolis parameter variation with latitude was important in determining wave dimension, Cressman [9] scrutinized the Rossby wave velocity formula and found retrogression in a series of quasi-stationary long waves began when the basic zonal velocity decreased in speed or shifted south. Such retrogression increased the long wave number (i.e., formed a new cold trough).

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

Continuing his previous investigation, Charney [7, 8] found that the mean flow with respect to pressure could be described as two-dimensional non-divergent in an equivalent barotropic atmosphere. He used this method as a basis for partially successful twenty-four hour forecasts of the 500 mb flow pattern by numerical integration of the non-linear vorticity equation. The simplified equations derived expressed conservation of the vertical component of absolute potential vorticity. The hydrostatic equation together with the individual rate of change of vorticity evaluated geostrophically, reduced to a single partial differential equation for pressure tendency. The basis of correspondence between the barotropic and baroclinic atmosphere lay in the notion of an equivalent barotropic atmosphere, in which horizontal motion at a particular level approximated the actual motion.

It can readily be seen that a great amount of effort has been placed on the long wave theory in an effort to gain a forecasting tool. The use of vertical motions has suffered in comparison, but primarily because of the sparsity of observations and the necessity to rely upon indirect information. Investigations of the vertical component of motion were undertaken as far back as 1911, when V. Bjerknes [13] described a method for such computations. In 1913, Exner [13] suggested the high correlation between 9 km pressure and temperature could be accounted for by vertical motions. Hesselberg,

1. The first part of the report is devoted to a general survey of the situation in the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

2. The second part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

3. The third part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

4. The fourth part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

5. The fifth part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

6. The sixth part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

7. The seventh part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

8. The eighth part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

9. The ninth part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

10. The tenth part of the report is devoted to a description of the state of the country. It is found that the country is in a state of general depression, and that the people are suffering from want and distress. The cause of this is attributed to the war, and the consequent destruction of property and the loss of life.

in 1915, extended Exner's argument by showing that the presence of persistent vertical motions of 1-10 centimeters per second would account for the observed magnitude of pressure-temperature changes. Jeffreys [24] in his 1922 study of vertical velocities necessary to maintain hailstones, found vertical velocities of any magnitude at all occurred only in eddies and could be ignored in treating mean motion. In 1927, Haurwitz [13] showed that in an atmosphere of constant lapse rate, that the maximum vertical component of velocity was in the region of maximum divergence and was of the order of eight centimeters per second. Brunt, [4] in his Memoirs [1934], found vertical velocities involving divergence were of the order of a few centimeters per second.

As observational information increased, quantitative analysis of divergence and vertical currents became more practicable. Durst [11], in 1940, found vertical velocities of a few centimeters per second; Fleagle [13], in 1945, Gaviola and Fuertes [15], in 1947, assumed adiabatic processes and computed the vertical component of velocity. Panofsky [23], in 1946, from third degree polynomials, found values of the same magnitude (i.e., a few centimeters per second).

While vertical motions of several meters per second have been observed, their duration was short and smoothed out over a twelve-hour period. Thus, the high valued measurements by theodolites as observed by Suring [15] have not altered the basic contention that persistent vertical motions are of a much smaller order.

Charney [6], in assigning magnitudes to vertical motions in 1948, explained that the failure of the tendency equation in pressure prognostication was due to the discounting of vertical motion. Charney [8], further determined that forecasts with the quasi-geostrophic assumption could be achieved only when the distribution of vertical motion and sea-level pressure distribution were known. Thus, it is necessary to extend numerical forecasts to permit forecasting vertical motion. In 1949, Bellamy [2] described a method of objective calculation of vertical velocities. In 1951, Phillips [25] indicated that the equivalent barotropic atmosphere was incapable of providing distribution of vertical motion and sea-level pressure adequately for forecasting the "weather".

In view of the interest in vertical velocity distribution, it is the purpose of the present investigation to present a theoretical solution of a two-layer barotropic, incompressible atmosphere with three-dimensional velocity divergence zero, introducing vertical motion as a perturbation.

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

(1944-1945) (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

1944-1945 (1944-1945) (1944-1945)

II. FORMULATION AND RESULTS OF THE PROBLEM

A brief summary of the principal subject matter of the investigation unhampered by mathematical detail is now given to set forth the procedure followed and results obtained.

Chapter III concerns the construction of a barotropic model. The troposphere is characterized by a constant vertical lapse rate; the stratosphere is assumed to be isothermal, with constant zonal wind throughout the atmosphere. The equations of motion are given in ordinary cartesian coordinates for an incompressible atmosphere. Horizontal velocity divergence is assumed to be compensated by vertical motion. Boundary conditions are formulated. It is shown that the model is consistent with the boundary conditions and the steady state motions prescribed.

The last section of Chapter III, treating the actual motion as a small perturbation superimposed on an undisturbed constant zonal current, is now discussed. The velocity components, as well as the pressure component, are expressed as simple harmonic perturbations of infinite lateral extent traveling in a west-east direction at constant velocity. These perturbation components are functions of height as well as of horizontal coordinates and time. The vertical velocity, involving a general expression of the z -coordinate, is used as a basis for the determination of all other velocity components. To simplify the mathematical difficulties, the meridional perturbation amplitude is

made proportional to that of the zonal perturbation. The zonal amplitude, in turn, by the prerequisite of zero of velocity divergence, is simply related to the vertical motion amplitude function, $H(z)$. It is shown that pressure is also a function of the vertical motion amplitude function.

Since the vertical amplitude is in terms of $H(z)$, the amplitudes of the other components are determinable from $H(z)$. The determination of the proportionality factor between the meridional and latitudinal perturbation velocities assumes an important role in the solution of the problem. Upon its determination rests the dependency of the wave velocity and the maximum allowable meridional perturbation velocity for a particular maximum zonal perturbation velocity. By analogy to Rossby's wave velocity, a restriction that the proportionality factor be less than unity is assumed. It is shown that the proportionality factor is dependent upon the discriminant of an auxiliary quadratic equation. Following the boundary conditions this discriminant must have a value slightly in excess of unity. The discriminant value must be determined from an inequality. The choice of the value of this inequality plays a dominant role in any further solution of this problem.

The problem then becomes one of determining the speed of propagation of the wave and the character of the vertical perturbation which introduces the amplitude as a function of height. The determination of these are dependent upon wave length and the parameters

1. The first part of the document
describes the general situation
of the country and the
state of the economy.

2. The second part of the document
describes the state of the
economy and the
state of the country.

characterizing the mean state of the atmosphere, namely, the height of the tropopause, the vertical velocity at the tropopause, the zonal wind, the vertical lapse rate, the mean latitude and surface density, pressure, and temperature.

In Chapter IV, the form of the perturbation is set forth. The perturbation functions are solved in the stratosphere, and the troposphere (by approximation). The proportionality constant between meridional and zonal perturbation velocities is determined. This constant is of great importance in the determination of the wave speed and stationary wave length. Amplitude evaluation is determined. The complete solution of the approximate case is shown diagrammatically.

In Chapter V, the tropospheric perturbation functions are solved without simplifying the coefficients of the differential equation satisfied by the amplitude function, $H(z)$.

Due to barotropy, no phase change is introduced into the structure of the wave. Vertical cells do appear in the perturbation velocity structure moving with the basic wave velocity. The perturbation fields of velocity diminish with increasing height and eventually approach zero. The wave in the meridional velocity field lags 90° behind the wave in the pressure field and the wave in the density field is in phase with the pressure wave. The zonal perturbation is in phase with the pressure wave, whereas the vertical velocity perturbation leads the pressure wave by 90° . These phase relationships are shown in Figure 3.

1. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject.

2. The second part is a detailed description of the methods used in the study.

3. The third part is a discussion of the results of the study.

4. The fourth part is a conclusion and a list of references.

5. The fifth part is a list of appendices.

6. The sixth part is a list of figures and tables.

7. The seventh part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

8. The eighth part is a list of footnotes.

9. The ninth part is a list of references.

10. The tenth part is a list of appendices.

11. The eleventh part is a list of figures and tables.

12. The twelfth part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

13. The thirteenth part is a list of footnotes.

14. The fourteenth part is a list of references.

15. The fifteenth part is a list of appendices.

16. The sixteenth part is a list of figures and tables.

17. The seventeenth part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

18. The eighteenth part is a list of footnotes.

19. The nineteenth part is a list of references.

20. The twentieth part is a list of appendices.

21. The twenty-first part is a list of figures and tables.

22. The twenty-second part is a list of abbreviations and symbols.

23. The twenty-third part is a list of footnotes.

III. THE MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

1. The Atmospheric Model.

As an approximation to the atmosphere in middle latitudes, a model of the undisturbed state is characterized as follows: (a) the undisturbed wind is zonal; the speed is constant and independent of the coordinates throughout the troposphere and stratosphere; (b) the lapse rate of temperature is constant in the troposphere and zero in the stratosphere; (c) both troposphere and stratosphere are barotropic, incompressible and frictionless layers.

2. Fundamental Equations.

It is assumed for purposes of mathematical simplicity that the curvature of the earth can be neglected. This assumption is valid when length of wave is small compared with circumference of the zonal circle along which the wave moves. This planar motion is expressed in a rectangular system of coordinates x , y and z with x increasing to the east, y northward, and z vertically upward. Then \bar{u} , \bar{v} , \bar{w} denote corresponding total velocity components (consisting of undisturbed velocity and perturbation velocity). Further, denote total pressure by \bar{p} , angular velocity of the earth by Ω , acceleration of gravity (assumed constant) as g , geographical latitude by ϕ , the z and y components of Coriolis acceleration, f and β respectively. The density $\bar{\rho}$, having been assumed incompressible, is constant and

1. The first part of the report is devoted to a description of the material and methods used in the study. The second part is devoted to a description of the results of the study. The third part is devoted to a discussion of the results of the study. The fourth part is devoted to a conclusion.

2. The first part of the report is devoted to a description of the material and methods used in the study. The second part is devoted to a description of the results of the study. The third part is devoted to a discussion of the results of the study. The fourth part is devoted to a conclusion.

equal to the unperturbed value ρ . The Eulerian equations of motion in ordinary cartesian coordinates, fixed in the earth, become

$$\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x} + \bar{v} f - \beta \bar{w}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\bar{v}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial y} - f \bar{u}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\bar{w}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial z} + \beta \bar{u} - g. \quad (3)$$

A fourth equation is obtained from the assumption that the three-dimensional velocity divergence is zero.

$$\nabla_3 \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0. \quad (4)$$

A relationship between density and height in the troposphere (with the assumption that g is constant with height) provides the fifth equation

$$\rho = \rho_0 [1 - \alpha z]^{\frac{g}{R\Gamma} - 1}. \quad (5)$$

But the density-height relationship in the stratosphere is

$$\rho = \rho_0 [1 - h_T \alpha]^{\frac{g}{R\Gamma} - 1} e^{-\frac{g}{R\Gamma_2} (z - h_T)}, \quad (6)$$

where h_T is height of tropopause.

... ..

(1)
$$-2x - 7 = 5 + \frac{9x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{26}{2}$$

(2)
$$-2x - \frac{9x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{26}{2}$$

(3)
$$-2x - 7 + \frac{9x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{26}{2}$$

... ..

(4)
$$0 = \sqrt{2}x$$

... ..

$$x = 0$$

$$x = 0$$

3. The Boundary Conditions.

The boundary conditions express the following physical properties of the motion: (a) the perturbation components are continuous across the tropopause, that is,

$$\Delta \bar{\rho} = \Delta \bar{u} = \Delta \bar{v} = \Delta \bar{w} = \Delta \bar{p} = \Delta \bar{T} = 0. \quad (7)$$

(b) the momentum vanishes at the limit of the atmosphere.

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \rho \bar{u} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \rho \bar{v} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \rho \bar{w} = 0. \quad (8)$$

4. Derivation of the Perturbation Equation.

The fundamental equations (1-6) together with the boundary conditions (7), (8) impose the necessary restrictions on the theoretical model. With the convention of a capital letter for steady state value and a small letter for the same quantity in the perturbed state, the characterization of the steady state is given by the conditions

$$V = W = \frac{dU}{dz} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 0, \quad U = \text{constant}. \quad (9)$$


Equation (2) implies that the undisturbed flow must satisfy the condition of geostrophic equilibrium

$$0 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} - fU. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) implies that ρ and P are functions of y and z only.

Equation (3) expresses the condition for hydrostatic equilibrium in the mean state

$$0 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - g, \quad (11)$$



with the omission of βU , which can be shown both empirically and theoretically to be negligible in comparison to the acceleration of gravity.

By means of the equation of state, and equations (4) and (5) with prescribed values of U , P_0 , T_0 , Γ , and h_m , the theoretical steady state model is completely described. The boundary conditions (7) and (8) are also satisfied by our steady state model. Hence the model prescribed is consistent with the fundamental equations (1-6) and the boundary conditions (7), (8).

5. The Linearized Equations.

The motion to be investigated can be treated as a small perturbation with velocity components u , v , and w superimposed on an undisturbed constant zonal current U , with the assumption of infinite lateral extent. Thus the motion is described by

$$\bar{u} = u(x, z, t) + U, \quad \bar{v} = v(x, z, t), \quad \bar{w} = w(x, z, t) \quad (12)$$

Similar expressions for the density and pressure in the disturbed state are

$$\bar{p} = p(x, z, t) + P(y, z), \quad \bar{\rho} = \rho(y, z) \quad (13)$$

In deriving the perturbation equations the usual assumptions are made. (1) The total disturbed plus undisturbed motion satisfies the hydrodynamic equations, as well as the undisturbed motion alone.

(2) The perturbations are so small that terms of second order in the

[illegible]

perturbation quantities can be neglected with respect to terms of the first order in the perturbation quantities. Linearizing the Eulerian equations of motion (1-3) and simplifying by means of the steady state equations (9-11), the system (1-3) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \beta w - f v = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + f u = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - \beta u = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}, \quad (16)$$

including the velocity divergence,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (17)$$

To start at $x=0$, we need to find the initial conditions. The initial conditions are given by the values of the function and its first derivative at $x=0$. The function is given by $y(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \dots$. The first derivative is given by $y'(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \dots$. At $x=0$, we have $y(0) = 0$ and $y'(0) = 0$.

(1) $\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \dots = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \dots$

(2) $0 = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \dots$

(3) $\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \dots = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \dots$

(4) $0 = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \dots$

IV. THE BAROTROPIC PERTURBATION

1. The Form of the Perturbation and Resulting Conditions.

The perturbation components, traveling in the x -direction at a constant velocity, are assumed to be simple harmonic motions of infinite lateral extent. The amplitudes of the perturbation are included in a general expression of the z -coordinate, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sin m(x-ct) F(z); & v &= \cos m(x-ct) Q(z); \\ w &= -m \cos m(x-ct) H(z); & p &= \sin m(x-ct) G(z). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

To simplify the mathematical difficulties, the assumption is made that $F(z)$ is proportional to $Q(z)$, D being the constant of proportionality. Since u and w of equations (18) are related by the condition of zero velocity divergence, it can be shown that

$$F(z) = H'(z) = Q(z) D. \tag{19}$$

The equation for the velocity of the wave is derived by substituting the perturbation velocities (18) into the equation (15). With the equalities of (19) known, the wave velocity equation is

$$c = U - \frac{fD}{m} \tag{20}$$

Since U and m are real, c will be either real or complex, depending on D . The determination of D will be discussed fully in Chapter IV-2.

THEORY OF THE EARTH

CHAPTER I. OF THE ORIGIN AND EXTENSION OF THE EARTH.

THE EARTH, AS WE SEE IT, IS A GLOBE, OR SPHERE, OF A RIGID MATTER, COVERED BY A LIQUID OCEAN, AND SURROUNDED BY A GASEOUS ATMOSPHERE.

THE ORIGIN OF THE EARTH IS A SUBJECT OF GREAT IMPORTANCE, AND HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF MUCH DISCUSSION AMONG PHILOSOPHERS AND SCIENTISTS.

THE FIRST QUESTION THAT PRESENTS ITSELF IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED IN ITS PRESENT FORM, OR WHETHER IT HAS UNDERGONE SOME GREAT CHANGE SINCE ITS ORIGIN.

THE SECOND QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE ACT OF CREATION, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE ACTS OF CREATION.

THE THIRD QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE CAUSE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE CAUSES.

THE FOURTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE POWER, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE POWERS.

THE FIFTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE WILL, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE WILLS.

THE SIXTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE KNOWLEDGE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE KNOWLEDGES.

THE SEVENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE LOVE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE LOVES.

THE EIGHTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE HATE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE HATES.

THE NINTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE FEAR, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE FEARS.

THE TENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE HOPE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE HOPES.

THE ELEVENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE TRUTH, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE TRUTHS.

THE TWELFTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE FAITH, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE FAITHS.

THE THIRTEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE CHARITY, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE CHARITIES.

THE FOURTEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE PATIENCE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE PATIENCES.

THE FIFTEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE KINDNESS, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE KINDNESSES.

THE SIXTEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE GENTLENESS, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE GENTLENESSES.

THE SEVENTEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE MEekNESS, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE MEekNESSES.

THE EIGHTEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE SILENCE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE SILENCES.

THE NINETEENTH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE STILLNESS, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE STILLNESSES.

THE TWENTIETH QUESTION IS, WHETHER THE EARTH WAS CREATED BY A SINGLE PEACE, OR WHETHER IT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SEVERAL SUCCESSIVE PEACES.

Substituting the perturbed velocity, pressure and mass fields into the perturbation equations (16) and (14) leads to

$$G'(z) = -\rho f D m H(z) + \rho \beta H'(z), \quad (21)$$

$$G(z) = \rho \left[\frac{-f(D^2-1)}{Dm} \right] H'(z) + \rho \beta H'(z), \quad (22)$$

respectively.

The differentiation of (22) with respect to z , leads to

$$G'(z) = \left[\frac{-f(D^2-1)}{Dm} \right] H''(z) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} \left[\frac{-f(D^2-1)}{Dm} \right] H'(z) + \rho \beta H'(z) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} \beta H(z). \quad (23)$$

By differentiation of density from equation (5), we have

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} = -\rho \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_0} \left(\frac{g}{R\Gamma} - 1 \right) (1-\alpha z)^{-1}. \quad (24)$$

Equating (21) and (23) and replacing ρ and $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}$ by their expressions in (5) and (24) leads to the following ordinary differential equation for the amplitude function $H(z)$:


$$(1-\alpha z) H''(z) - K H'(z) + \left[\frac{\beta K D m}{f(D^2-1)} - \frac{D^2 m^2 (1-\alpha z)}{(D^2-1)} \right] H(z) = 0 \quad (25)$$

where K is an abridged notation for

$$K = \alpha \left(g/R\Gamma - 1 \right). \quad (26)$$

Using the further abridged notations,

$$A = \frac{\beta K D m}{f(D^2-1)}, \quad B = -\frac{D^2 m^2}{D^2-1}, \quad (27)$$



equation (25), the tropospheric differential equation becomes

$$(1-\alpha z)H''(z) - K H'(z) + [A + B(1-\alpha z)] H(z) = 0. \quad (28)$$

2. Perturbation Functions in the Stratosphere.

In exactly the same manner as described in the preceding section, the stratospheric differential equation follows by using (6) instead of (5) for the density expression. Thus, analogously to equation (24), the stratospheric density derivative is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} = -\rho / h_2, \quad (29)$$

where $h_2 = RT_2/g$ is the height of a homogeneous layer at stratospheric temperature T_2 . We, therefore, obtain the second order linear differential equation with constant coefficients

$$H''(z) - \frac{H'(z)}{h_2} + \left[\frac{\beta D m}{f h_2 (D^2 - 1)} - \frac{D^2 m^2}{(D^2 - 1)} \right] H(z) = 0. \quad (30)$$

The auxiliary equation of (30) is

$$0 = q^2 - \frac{q}{h_2} + \frac{\beta D m}{h_2 f (D^2 - 1)} - \frac{D^2 m^2}{D^2 - 1}, \quad (31)$$

whence

$$q = \frac{1}{2h_2} \pm \frac{1}{2h_2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\beta D m h_2}{f (D^2 - 1)} - \frac{(2 D m h_2)^2}{(D^2 - 1)}}. \quad (32)$$

The determination of the value of D is dependent upon the value assigned to the discriminant of the auxiliary equation (32). By analogy with the Rossby wave velocity

$$U - c = \beta / E m^2$$

it would follow that, for Rossby waves,

$$D_R = \beta / E f m \approx 0.14.$$

The only restriction placed on D at this stage of the investigation * is that $D < 1$, from which it follows at once, that the discriminant of (28) has a value n_2 , at latitude 45° , slightly in excess of unity, $n_2 > 1$.

Solving the discriminant for D:

$$D^2 [(2mh_2)^2 - (n_2^2 - 1)] - (2mh_2)2D + (n_2^2 - 1) = 0, \quad (33)$$

let

$$Z^2 = (n_2^2 - 1)Y_2^2 = (2mh_2)^2. \quad (34)$$

Solving equation (33) by the quadratic formula gives


$$D = \frac{Y_2}{(n_2^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \pm \frac{Y_2}{(n_2^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[1 - \frac{(Y_2^2 - 1)(n_2^2 - 1)}{Y_2^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times [Y_2^2 - 1]^{-1}. \quad (35)$$

In order to expand the radical in (35) by means of the binomial expansion, the condition that

$$\left| Y_2^2 - 1 \right| < Y_2^2 / (n_2^2 - 1) = 2mh_2 / (n_2^2 - 1)^2 \quad (36)$$

must hold.

* $D > 1$ with a moderately long wave of 6000 km, would give a value of $U-c > 100$ mps, so that obviously $D > 1$ does not apply.



Examining the two possible cases: (a) $Y_2 < 1$, (b) $Y_2 > 1$.

Case (a): $Y_2 < 1$.

Equation (36) becomes

$$(2 m h_2)^2 > (n_2^2 - 1) / n_2^2 ,$$

so that

$$n_2^2 < 1 + 2 m h_2 , \quad (36')$$

For $L = 6000$ km, $n_2^2 < 1.012$. Then from the inequality of case (a), the expansion of the radical of (35), with the choice of the negative sign, yields

$$D = \frac{(n_2^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 Y_2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{(Y_2^2 - 1)(n_2^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{Y_2^3} + \dots \quad (37)$$

Case (b): $Y_2 > 1$

Equation (34) becomes

$$(2 m h_2)^2 / (n_2^2 - 1) > 1 .$$

It follows that

$$1 < n_2 < [1 + (2 m h_2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \quad (38)$$

where $n_2 < 1.0004$ for a wave length of 6000 km. The value of n_2 determined by case (b) is included in that of case (a), so that $Y_2 < 1$ will be used in the evaluation of D . Moreover, all terms of (37) beyond the second will be considered negligible. Thus at latitude 45° where

$$\beta = f,$$

10

—

Journal of Management Studies, 36(7), 809-826.

•

•

4

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n_2^2 - 1}{2m h_2} \right) - \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{(n_2^2 - 1)^3}{2m h_2} \left(1 - \frac{\{2m h_2\}^2}{n_2^2 - 1} \right) \right]. \quad (39)$$

The evaluation of D, which for a particular mean latitude and wave number remains constant, is dependent on the value of n_2 selected from the inequality (36'). In this investigation, it was assumed desirable to have the ratio of the absolute maximum velocity of the horizontal perturbation to that of the vertical perturbation as large as consistently possible. This ratio, hereafter called

$$M = \left| \frac{u_{max}}{w_{max}} \right| = S_2 \frac{(1 - n_2)}{m}, \quad (39')$$

can be shown to be a function of n_2 and L. The value of D is compared in Table 1. for various values of n_2 and wave number N.

N	n_2	m in meters ⁻¹ $\times 10^6$	$2m h_2$	Y_2	M	D
4	1.0056	0.888	0.0113	0.107	0.5	.376
5	1.0070	1.110	0.0141	0.119	0.5	.378
6	1.0084	1.332	0.0170	0.132	0.5	.376
7	1.0098	1.554	0.0198	0.141	0.5	.377
8	1.0112	1.776	0.0226	0.151	0.5	.377
9	1.0125	1.998	0.0254	0.161	0.5	.377

Constant parameters: $\phi = 45^\circ$, $T_2 = 218^\circ \text{ A}$

Table 1.

Having determined the value of D qualitatively in terms of m , h_2 and n_2 , the general solution of the stratospheric equation (30) is

$$H(z) = C_1 e^{S_2 z - S_2 n_2 z} + C_2 e^{S_2 z + S_2 n_2 z}, \quad (40)$$

with the abridged notation

$$S_2 = 1/2 h_2.$$

By application of the boundary condition (8), $\rho w \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow \infty} 0$, it follows that $H(z) \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow \infty} 0$ if and only if the coefficient C_2 is made zero.

The particular solution satisfying the boundary conditions is


$$H(z) = C_1 e^{S_2(1-n_2)z}. \quad (41)$$

In the determination of the pressure perturbation in the stratosphere, the amplitude function $G(z)$ is rewritten from equations (22) and (6), in the abridged notation, as

$$G(z) = C_1 \rho \left(\frac{S_2 f[1-D^2][1-n_2] + \beta}{Dm} \right) (1-\alpha_{h_1})^{\frac{g}{R\pi}-1} e^{-S_2(n_2+1)z + \frac{h_1}{h_2}}. \quad (42)$$

From the solution of (41), the perturbation components of the stratosphere are defined. In abridged notation, they are

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= C_1 S_2(1-n_2) e^{S_2(1-n_2)z} \sin m(x-ct), \\ v_2 &= \frac{C_1}{D} S_2(1-n_2) e^{S_2(1-n_2)z} \cos m(x-ct), \\ w_2 &= -m C_1 e^{S_2(1-n_2)z} \cos m(x-ct), \\ p_2 &= G(z) \sin m(x-ct). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$



In order to solve (43) for C_1 , suitable numerical values must be assigned to the constant parameters ϕ , h_T , T_2 , w_{\max} , N and n_2 , ρ_0 .

The following values are selected: $\phi = 45^\circ$, $h_T = 10$ km, $T_2 = 218^\circ\text{A}$, $w_{\max} = 5.5$ cm sec $^{-1}$, $N = 5$, $n_2 = 1.007$, $\rho_0 = 0.001121$ gm cm $^{-3}$.

With assignment of these quantities, numerical values may be derived for the parameters m , S_2 , M , where $m = 1.11 \times 10^{-6}$ meters $^{-1}$, $S_2 = .0785$ km $^{-1}$, $M = \frac{1}{2}$. Equation (43) yields $C_1 = -5 \times 10^4$ m 2 sec $^{-2}$.

3. An Approximate Solution in the Troposphere.

To obtain the solution of the barotropic wave by approximating the value of $(1 - \alpha z)$ in the tropospheric equation, (28) let

$$(1 - \alpha z) = T_3 / T_0 \quad (44)$$

be a satisfactory approximation. Substituting this approximation into equation (25), the equation becomes a second order differential equation with constant coefficients, namely,

$$\frac{T_3}{T_0} H''(z) - K H'(z) + \left(A + B \frac{T_3}{T_0} \right) H(z) = 0. \quad (45)$$

$$\Gamma = (1 - \epsilon) g / R, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1 \quad (46)$$

Then, if $h_3 = RT_3 / g$, equation (45) can then be shown to be

$$H''(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{h_3} H'(z) + \left(\frac{\beta E D m}{f h_3 [D^2 - 1]} - \frac{D^2 m^2}{D^2 - 1} \right) H(z) = 0. \quad (47)$$

1. The first part of the paper discusses the importance of the study of the history of the English language. It is argued that the study of the history of the English language is essential for a full understanding of the language and its development. The paper then goes on to discuss the various factors that have influenced the development of the English language, such as the influence of other languages, the influence of social and cultural changes, and the influence of technological advances.

2. The second part of the paper discusses the importance of the study of the history of the English language. It is argued that the study of the history of the English language is essential for a full understanding of the language and its development. The paper then goes on to discuss the various factors that have influenced the development of the English language, such as the influence of other languages, the influence of social and cultural changes, and the influence of technological advances.

3. The third part of the paper discusses the importance of the study of the history of the English language. It is argued that the study of the history of the English language is essential for a full understanding of the language and its development. The paper then goes on to discuss the various factors that have influenced the development of the English language, such as the influence of other languages, the influence of social and cultural changes, and the influence of technological advances.

The general solution of this equation is dependent upon the discriminant obtained from the auxiliary equation of equation (47). By letting the discriminant equal n_3 , where

$$n_3 = 1 - \frac{4/3 h_3}{f \epsilon (D^2 - 1)} + \frac{4(D m h_3)^2}{\epsilon^2 (D^2 - 1)}, \quad (48)$$

and introducing the abridged notation $S_3 = \epsilon / 2 h_3$,

with D known from the stratospheric solution, n_3 is determined.

Then the general solution in the approximate case is

$$H(z) = e^{S_3 z} [C_2 e^{n_3 S_3 z} + C_3 e^{-n_3 S_3 z}]. \quad (49)$$

4. Application of the Tropopause Boundary Condition.


The method of evaluating the coefficients C_2 and C_3 is the same for both the approximate and actual case. The approximate case is now considered.

With the boundary condition of a discontinuity of the first order at the tropopause the perturbation components must be continuous across the tropopause,

$$u_2 = u_3, \quad w_2 = w_3, \quad v_2 = v_3, \quad \rho_2 = \rho_3. \quad (50)$$

Then from (49) the first derivative with respect to z is

$$H'(z) = S_3 e^{S_3 z} [C_2 (1 + n_3) e^{n_3 S_3 z} + C_3 (1 - n_3) e^{-n_3 S_3 z}]. \quad (51)$$



so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_3 &= -m e^{S_3 z} (C_2 e^{n_3 S_3 z} + C_3 e^{-n_3 S_3 z}) \cos m(x-ct), \\
 u_3 &= S_3 e^{S_3 z} [C_2 (1+n_3) e^{n_3 S_3 z} + C_3 (1-n_3) e^{-n_3 S_3 z}] \sin m(x-ct), \\
 w_{3(max)} &= -m e^{S_3 z} (C_2 e^{n_3 S_3 z} + C_3 e^{-n_3 S_3 z}), \\
 u_{3(max)} &= S_3 e^{S_3 z} [C_2 (1+n_3) e^{n_3 S_3 z} + C_3 (1-n_3) e^{-n_3 S_3 z}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

From the boundary condition (50), equate vertical velocities and also horizontal velocities at the tropopause, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1 e^{S_2(1-n_2)h_T} &= e^{S_3 h_T} (C_2 e^{n_3 S_3 h_T} + C_3 e^{-n_3 S_3 h_T}), \\
 C_1 S_2 (1-n_2) e^{S_2(1-n_2)h_T} &= S_3 e^{S_3 h_T} [C_2 (1+n_3) e^{n_3 S_3 h_T} + C_3 (1-n_3) e^{-n_3 S_3 h_T}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

By solving these two equations simultaneously C_2 and C_3 are determined.

5. The Complete Solution in the Approximate Case.

With C_2 and C_3 determined, the perturbations of the troposphere are by approximation defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_3 &= u_{3(max)} \sin m(x-ct), \\
 v_3 &= \frac{1}{\sigma} u_{3(max)} \cos m(x-ct), \\
 w_3 &= w_{3(max)} \cos m(x-ct), \\
 p_3 &= \rho_0 (1-\alpha_2)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \left[\frac{f(1-D^2)}{Dm} u_{3(max)} - \frac{\beta}{m} w_{3(max)} \right] \sin m(x-ct).
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

In order to solve (54), suitable numerical values must be assigned to the parameters of the troposphere, in addition to those assigned in the stratosphere (e.g., Section 2 of this Chapter). The additional parameters, having selected values, are

$T_3 = 256.5^\circ A, \rho = 5.18^\circ \text{ km}^{-1}$. With assignment of these quantities it can be shown that the constants C_2 and C_3 have the values 3.59 and -4.98×10^4 , respectively. A diagram of the perturbation velocities is presented in Figure 1 for a graphical representation of the solution determined in this Chapter.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific requirements for record-keeping, including the need to maintain separate accounts for each transaction and to ensure that all records are properly indexed and filed.

3. The third part of the document discusses the importance of regular audits and reviews of the records. It states that audits should be conducted at least once a year and that the results of the audits should be reported to the appropriate authorities.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of training and education for all personnel involved in the record-keeping process. It states that all personnel should receive regular training and education to ensure that they are up-to-date on the latest record-keeping practices.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining the confidentiality of the records. It states that all records should be kept in a secure location and that access to the records should be restricted to authorized personnel only.


6. The sixth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining the accuracy of the records. It states that all records should be checked for accuracy and that any errors should be corrected immediately.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining the completeness of the records. It states that all records should be complete and that no records should be missing or incomplete.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining the consistency of the records. It states that all records should be consistent and that any inconsistencies should be investigated and resolved.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining the timeliness of the records. It states that all records should be entered into the system as soon as possible and that any delays should be minimized.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining the security of the records. It states that all records should be protected from unauthorized access and that any security breaches should be reported immediately.



PERTURBATION VELOCITIES IN THE VERTICAL
at zero time.


Figure 1

P_{mb}	0
w cm sec ⁻¹	5.5
u cm sec ⁻¹	2.54
v cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
T cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
R cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
O cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
P cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
A cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
U cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
S cm sec ⁻¹	2.18
E cm sec ⁻¹	2.18

Amplitude Elements

Figure 2

Note:
* To get vertical perturbation add $5.477 \text{ cm sec}^{-1}$
to vertical vector shown
~ add $2.718 \text{ cm sec}^{-1}$ to horizontal vector
shown, to get u .



Phase Relationships:
pressure - perturbation
velocities
Figure 3



V. THE VARIABLE COEFFICIENT CASE

1. The Solution of the Perturbation.

with D determined and constant throughout the atmosphere for any particular mean latitude, the second order differential equation (28) is now solvable. By suitable manipulation, described in the Appendix, this equation (28) is a case of the confluent hypergeometric differential equation

$$\eta L''(\eta) + [b - \eta] L'(\eta) - a L(\eta) = 0, \quad (55)$$

$$\eta = \frac{KB}{\alpha A} \left[\frac{B}{A} + 1 - \alpha z \right].$$

Equation (55) is satisfied by the functions

$$\psi_1 = F(a, b, \eta) = 1 + \frac{a}{b}\eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)} \eta^2 + \dots,$$

$$\psi_2 = (-\eta)^{1-b} F(a-b+1, 2-b, \eta) =$$

$$1 + \frac{a-b+1}{2-b} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(a-b+1)(a-b+2)}{(2-b)(3-b)} \eta^2 + \dots \quad (56)$$

The confluent hypergeometric equation then has the general solution

$$H(z) = C_2 \psi_1 + C_3 \psi_2. \quad (57)$$

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911

1912

To test the convergence of $\psi_1 = F(a, b, \eta)$, compare to the series e^x , where $x = -a/b |\eta|$. It can be shown that, termwise, $\psi_1 < e^x$ and orders higher than the second can be dropped with accuracy to the first five significant figures. Then

$$\psi_1 \cong 1 + \frac{a}{b} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)} \eta^2, \quad (58)$$

where $a/b = 1/D^2 - 1$.

Testing the convergence of

$$(-\eta)^{-(1-b)} \psi_1 = F[(a-b+1), (2-b), \eta],$$

after the first 12 terms, the series is more rapidly convergent than where $x = -a/b |\eta|$. In the range of values used in this study, however, there is negligible error, if series is shortened to

$$(-\eta)^{-(1-b)} \psi_2 = 1 + \frac{a-b+1}{2-b} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(a-b+1)(a-b+2)}{(2-b)(3-b)} \eta^2. \quad (59)$$

The general solution in the troposphere from equation (57) is

$$H(z) = C_2 \left(1 + \frac{a}{b} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)} \eta^2 \right) + C_3 (-\eta)^{1-b} \left[1 + \frac{a-b+1}{2-b} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(a-b+1)(a-b+2)}{(2-b)(3-b)} \eta^2 \right]. \quad (60)$$

2. Application of the Tropopause Boundary Conditions.

After the manner of evaluating coefficients in Chapter IV, equating the vertical and horizontal velocity across the tropopause, two resultant equations can be solved simultaneously for C_2 and C_3 .

3. The Complete Solution.

With C_2 and C_3 determined, the perturbations in the troposphere are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 &= (C_2 \psi_1' + C_3 [\psi_2' (-\eta)^{1-b} + (-\eta)^{-b} \eta' (1-b) \psi_2]) \sin m(x-ct), \\
 w_1 &= -m (C_2 \psi_1 + C_3 \psi_2) \cos m(x-ct), \\
 v_1 &= \left[\frac{C_2}{D} \psi_1' + \frac{C_3}{D} \{(-\eta)^{1-b} \psi_2' + (-\eta)^{-b} \eta' (1-b) \psi_2\} \right] \cos m(x-ct), \\
 p_1 &= \rho_0 [1-\alpha z]^{\frac{g}{R\theta}}^{-1} \left[\frac{f(1-D^2)}{Dm} (C_2 \psi_1' + C_3 \{(-\eta)^{1-b} \psi_2' + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (-\eta)^{-b} \eta' (1-b) \psi_2\}) + \beta (C_2 \psi_1 + C_3 \psi_2) \right] \sin m(x-ct), \\
 \eta &= \frac{Dm}{\alpha} \left(\frac{Dm}{K} - [1-\alpha z] \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

12/1
12/1
12/1
12/1
12/1

12/1

In (61) the primes denote differentiation with respect to z . The values of parameters to be inserted in (61) are $D = .377$, $m = 1.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\alpha = 1.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $K = 1.064 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, so that η can be evaluated for any value of z . In particular, at the tropopause η has the value $\eta = -.0176$. Likewise $\eta' = .415 \times 10^{-8}$, and is constant throughout the troposphere.

The complete solution includes the solution above the tropopause which remains precisely as developed in Chapter IV-2.

1. 1. 1.

2. 2. 2.

3. 3. 3.

4. 4. 4.

5. 5. 5.

6. 6. 6.

VI. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

It would appear that the variations of the value of n_2 determined by the discriminant of the stratospheric auxiliary equation (32) plays the most important role in this entire investigation. By variation of this parameter alone, the wave velocity, the stationary wave length and the ratio of horizontal to the vertical maximum velocity, can be varied. Within the allowable range of this parameter, wave velocities determined by Rossby are included.

Gravity and sound waves are also included in these solutions for appropriate values of n . The values of n correspond to the inequality

$n^2 - 1 < 2m h_2 = 4\pi h_2 / L$, with values of the wave-length, L , suitably chosen to be representative values of wave-length for sound and gravity waves, respectively. It will be remembered that Charney [5] , in dealing with the problem of the unstable baroclinic wave, first eliminated these short stable waves, which in the present paper is equivalent to restricting the condition to $D < 1$.

A further possible investigation is suggested by this study. By limiting the lateral extent of the disturbance, larger values of the velocity components are consistent with the small values of vertical divergence used in this study, the values of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ would then partially compensate one another, giving the proper (small) magnitude to $\nabla_H \cdot V = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Archibald, W. J. The complete solution of the differential equation for the confluent hypergeometric function. *Phil. Mag.*, 7 ser., 26, pp 415-419.
2. Bellamy, J. C. Objective calculations of divergence, vertical velocity and vorticity. *Bul. Amer. Met. Soc.*, Vol. 30, No. 2. 1949.
3. Bjerknes, J. Theorie der aussertropischen zyklonenbildung. *Meteor. Z.*, Vol. 54, pp 460-466. 1937.
4. Brunt, D. Physical and dynamical meteorology. Macmillan Co. University Press. 1934.
5. Charney, J. G. The dynamics of long waves in baroclinic western current. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 4, No. 5, 1947.
6. Charney, J. G. On the scale of atmospheric motions. *Geofysiske Publikasjoner*, Vol. XVII, No. 2, 1948.
7. Charney, J. G. and Eliassen, A. A numerical method for predicting the perturbations of the middle latitude westerlies. *Tellus*, Vol. 1, No. 2. 1949.
8. Charney, J. G. On a physical basis for numerical prediction of large-scale motions in the atmosphere. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 6, No. 6, pp 371-385. 1949.
9. Cressman, G. P. On the forecasting of long waves in the upper westerlies. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 5, No. 2. 1948.
10. Colton, DeV. Effect of a mountain range on quasi-stationary waves. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 1950.
11. Durst, C. S. Convergence and divergence in the atmosphere. *Q. Journ. Roy. Met. Soc.*, Vol. 66, No. 285, pp 171-180. 1940.
12. Eliassen, A. The quasi-static equations of motion with pressure as independent variable. *Geofysiske Publikasjoner*, Vol. XVII, No.3, 1949.
13. Fleagle, R. G. The fields of temperature, pressure, and three-dimensional motion in selected weather situations. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 4, No. 6, 1947.
14. Forsythe, G. E. Exact particle trajectories for nonviscous flow in a plane with constant coriolis parameter. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 6, No. 5, pp 337-346. 1949.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of the proposed system on the performance of the system. The study is divided into two main parts: a theoretical analysis and an experimental evaluation.

The theoretical analysis is based on the principles of the system and the results of previous studies. The experimental evaluation is based on the results of a series of experiments conducted under controlled conditions.

The results of the theoretical analysis and the experimental evaluation are presented in the following sections. The conclusions of the study are discussed in the final section.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

The study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed system. Section 3 presents the theoretical analysis. Section 4 presents the experimental evaluation. Section 5 discusses the conclusions.

15. Gaviola, E. and Puertes, F. A. Hail formation, vertical currents and icing of aircraft. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 4, No. 4. 1947.
16. Haurwitz, B. The motion of atmospheric disturbances. *Journ. of Mar. Res.*, Vol. III, No. 1, pp 35-50. 1940.
17. Haurwitz, B. The motion of atmospheric disturbances on spherical earth. *Journ. of Mar. Res.*, Vol. III, No. 3, pp 254-267. 1940.
18. Haurwitz, B. Theory of atmospheric motion. *Dynamic Meteorology*. McGraw-Hill. 1941.
19. Holmboe, J., Forsythe, G. E., and Gustin, W. *Dynamic meteorology*. Sect. 12.05-12.08. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1945.
20. Hovmöller, E. The trough-and-ridge diagram. *Tellus*. Vol. 1, No. 2, pp 62-66. 1949.
21. Kuo, H. L. Note on inertial stability of geostrophic zonal flow. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 6, No. 5. Shorter Contributions, pp 356-358. 1949.
22. Namias, J. and Clapp, P. F. Studies of the motion and development of long waves in the westerlies. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 1, Nos. 3 and 4. 1944.
23. Panofsky, H. A. Objective weather map analysis. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 6, No. 6, pp 386-392. 1949.
24. Petterssen, S. *Weather analysis and forecasting*. First Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co. 1940.
25. Phillips, N. A simple three-dimensional model for the study of large scale extratropical flow patterns. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 8, No. 6, pp 381-394. 1951.
26. Riehl, H., Yeh, T. C., and Le Seur, N. E. A study of variations of general circulation. *J. Meteor.*, Vol. 7, No. 3, 1950.
27. Rossby, C. G., and collaborators. Relation between variations in intensity of the zonal circulation of atmosphere and the displacement of the semi-permanent centers of action. *Journ. of Mar. Res.*, Vol. II, No. 1, pp 38-55. 1939.
28. Rossby, C. G. Planetary flow patterns in the atmosphere. *Q. Journ. Roy. Met. Soc.*, Vol. 66, Supplement, pp 68-87. 1940.
29. Rossby, C. G., and collaborators. Forecasting of flow patterns in the free atmosphere by trajectory method. Appendix to basic principles of weather forecasting. V. Starr. Harper and Brothers. New York. 1942.

1. The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country and the position of the various groups.

2. The second part of the report deals with the economic situation and the position of the various groups.

3. The third part of the report deals with the social situation and the position of the various groups.

4. The fourth part of the report deals with the political situation and the position of the various groups.

5. The fifth part of the report deals with the cultural situation and the position of the various groups.

6. The sixth part of the report deals with the international situation and the position of the various groups.

7. The seventh part of the report deals with the future of the country and the position of the various groups.

8. The eighth part of the report deals with the conclusion of the report and the position of the various groups.

9. The ninth part of the report deals with the appendix and the position of the various groups.

10. The tenth part of the report deals with the bibliography and the position of the various groups.

11. The eleventh part of the report deals with the index and the position of the various groups.

12. The twelfth part of the report deals with the list of figures and the position of the various groups.

13. The thirteenth part of the report deals with the list of tables and the position of the various groups.

14. The fourteenth part of the report deals with the list of references and the position of the various groups.

15. The fifteenth part of the report deals with the list of abbreviations and the position of the various groups.

16. The sixteenth part of the report deals with the list of symbols and the position of the various groups.

17. The seventeenth part of the report deals with the list of footnotes and the position of the various groups.

30. Rossby, C. G. The atmospheres of the earth and planets.
Chapter II. Gerard P. Kuiper. University of Chicago Press. 1949.
31. Rossby, C. G., Berggren, R., and Bolin, B. An aerological study of zonal motion, its perturbations and breakdown. Tellus. Vol. 1, No. 2. 1949.
32. Sekera, Z. The distribution of kinetic energy in certain types of steady barotropic currents. J. Meteor., Vol. 6, No. 5, pp 321-329. 1949.
33. Spar, J. Energy changes in the mean motion. J. Meteor., Vol. 6, No. 6, p 414. 1949.
34. Starr, V. P., and Weiburger, M. Potential vorticity as a conservative property. Journ. of Mar. Res., Vol. III, No. 3. 1940.
35. Whittaker, E. T., and Watson, G. N. A course of modern analysis. Fourth Edition. Cambridge University Press (See hypergeometric function).
36. Willett, H. C. Descriptive meteorology. Academic Press. Chapter VI. 1944.

Q40.

Q41.

Q42.

Q43.

Q44.

Q45.

Q46.

APPENDIX I.

The transformation of equation (28) to the form of a confluent hypergeometric differential equation follows.

Equation (28) is restated here.

$$(1 - \alpha z) H''(z) - K H'(z) + [A + B(1 - \alpha z)] H(z) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Divide by $(A+B)$:

$$\left(\frac{1 - \alpha z}{A+B} \right) H''(z) - \frac{K}{A+B} H'(z) + \left(1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B} \right) H(z) = 0. \quad (28.a)$$


Divide by $(1 - B\alpha z / (A+B)) \neq 0$, then multiply by $(A+B)$

$$\left(\frac{1 - \alpha z}{1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}} \right) H''(z) - \left(\frac{K}{1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}} \right) H'(z) + (A+B) H(z) = 0. \quad (28.b)$$

Momentarily, consider the first term only

$$\left(\frac{1 - \alpha z}{1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}} \right) = \left[\frac{\frac{A+B}{B} - \left(\frac{B\alpha z}{A+B} \right) \left(\frac{A+B}{B} \right) - \frac{A+B}{B} + 1}{\left(1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B} \right)} \right] =$$

$$\left[\frac{A+B}{B} - \frac{A}{B \left(1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B} \right)} \right]. \quad (28.c)$$



Expanding,

$$\left[1 - \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}\right]^{-1} = \left[1 + \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}\right], \quad (28.d)$$

if it is assumed that higher order terms negligible.

Equation (28.b) together with (28.c,d.) yield

$$\left[\frac{A+B}{B} + \frac{A}{B}\left(1 + \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}\right)\right] H''(z) - K\left[1 + \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}\right] H'(z) + [A+B] H(z) = 0. \quad (28.e)$$

Collecting terms, (28.e) is

$$\left[1 - \frac{A\alpha z}{A+B}\right] H''(z) - K\left[1 + \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B}\right] H'(z) + [A+B] H(z) = 0. \quad (28.f)$$

Let


$$\xi = 1 - \frac{A\alpha z}{A+B}, \quad \frac{\alpha z}{A+B} = \frac{1-\xi}{A}, \quad \frac{d\xi}{dz} = -\frac{A\alpha}{A+B},$$

then

$$1 + \frac{B\alpha z}{A+B} = 1 + \frac{B}{A} - \frac{B\xi}{A},$$

and equation (28.f) is

$$\xi H''(z) - K\left(1 + \frac{B}{A}\xi\right) H'(z) + (A+B) H(z) = 0, \quad (28.g)$$



where

$$H(z) = H\left(\frac{A+B}{A\alpha}[1-\xi]\right) = J(\xi),$$

$$H'(z) = \frac{dJ}{d\xi} \frac{d\xi}{dz} = -J'(\xi) \frac{A\alpha}{A+B}$$

$$H''(z) = \frac{d^2J}{d\xi^2} \left(\frac{A\alpha}{A+B}\right)^2 = J''(\xi) \left(\frac{A\alpha}{A+B}\right)^2$$

Equation (28.g), in terms of ξ , becomes

$$\left(\frac{A\alpha}{A+B}\right)^2 \xi J''(\xi) + \frac{KA\alpha}{A+B} \left(1 + \frac{B}{A} - \frac{B}{A}\xi\right) J'(\xi) + (A+B) J(\xi) = 0. \quad (28.h)$$

Dividing (28.h) by $\left[A\alpha/A+B\right]^2$ yields

$$\xi J''(\xi) + \left(\frac{K\alpha AB}{A[A+B]}\right) \left(\frac{A+B}{\alpha A}\right) \left(\frac{A+B}{B} - \xi\right) J'(\xi) + \frac{(A+B)^3}{(A\alpha)^2} J(\xi) = 0. \quad (28.i)$$

By letting $r = KB(A+B)/A^2\alpha$,

equation (28.i) becomes

$$\xi J''(\xi) + r \left(\frac{A+B}{B} - \xi\right) J'(\xi) + \frac{(A+B)^3}{(\alpha A)^2} J(\xi) = 0. \quad (28.j)$$

Then if $r\xi = \eta$,

$$J(\xi) = J\left(\frac{\eta}{r}\right) = L(\eta),$$

$$J'(\xi) = \frac{dL}{d\eta} \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = r L'(\eta),$$

$$J''(\xi) = r \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\frac{dL}{d\eta} \right) = r^2 L''(\eta),$$

equation (28.j) is

$$\xi r^2 L''(\eta) + r^2 \left(\frac{A+B}{B} - \xi \right) L'(\eta) + \frac{(A+B)^3}{(\alpha A)^2} L(\eta) = 0. \quad (28.k)$$

Divide equation (28.k) by r and substitute the equality $\eta = r\xi$, and the equation becomes

$$\eta L''(\eta) + \left[r \frac{(A+B)}{B} - \eta \right] L'(\eta) + \frac{(A+B)^3}{r(\alpha A)^2} L(\eta) = 0. \quad (28.l)$$

If $b = r(A+B)/B$, $a = -(A+B)^3 / r(\alpha A)^2$,

equation (28.l) is identically equation (55), which is the form of the confluent hypergeometric differential equation.

U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

JUL 15
17 AUG 70

765
8722

Thesis

19843

S72

Stickles

The dynamics of long waves in a barotropic westerly current with a three-dimensional velocity perturbation.

JUL 15
17 AUG 70

765
8722

Thesis
S72

Stickles

19843

The dynamics of long waves in a barotropic westerly current with a three-dimensional velocity perturbation.

Library
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

theS72

The dynamics of long waves in a barotrop



3 2768 002 02009 1
DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY